



YECHEZKAL EVAN SPERO
74 MOSHAV TIFRACH
M. P. HANEDEV 85102 IL ISRAEL

COPY MAILED

FEB 27 2008

In re Application of
Yechezkal Evan Spero
Application No. 10/604,360
Filed: July 14, 2003
Title of Invention: **MULTIPLE LIGHT-SOURCE
ILLUMINATING SYSTEM**

OFFICE OF PETITIONS
ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)¹, filed October 16, 2007, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the Final Office Action mailed October 17, 2006. A response was filed January 17, 2007, but by Advisory Action of January 31, 2007, petitioner was advised that the response did not place the application in condition for allowance. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed June 26, 2007.

Prior to the filing of the Notice of Abandonment, on April 25, 2007, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) requesting that the prior filed amendment be considered as the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed. However, since the application was already abandoned, the RCE was not entered.

This matter is now being referred to Technology Center 2875 for processing the RCE filed April 25, 2007.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).